# Dietary pattern changes in Fukushima residents after the Great East Japan Earthquake: the Fukushima Health Management Survey 2011–2013

Enbo Ma<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> <sup>(a)</sup>, Tetsuya Ohira<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hironori Nakano<sup>2,3</sup>, Masaharu Maeda<sup>3,4</sup>, Hirooki Yabe<sup>3,5</sup>, Akira Šakai<sup>3,6</sup>, Seiji Yasumura<sup>3,7</sup> and Kenji Kamiya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Promotion Center, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan: <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan: <sup>3</sup>Radiation Medical Science Center for Fukushima Health Management Survey, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan: <sup>4</sup>Department of Disaster Psychiatry, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan: <sup>5</sup>Department of Neuropsychiatry, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan: <sup>6</sup>Department of Radiation Life Sciences, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan: <sup>7</sup>Department of Public Health, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan

Submitted 8 June 2019: Final revision received 3 January 2020: Accepted 20 January 2020

## Abstract

Objective: Dietary patterns more closely resemble actual eating behaviours because multiple food groups, not a single food group or nutrient, are considered. The present study aimed to identify and assess changes of dietary patterns in Fukushima residents.

Design: Dietary data were collected using a short-form FFO in annual Fukushima Health Management Survey between 2011 and 2013 after the Great East Japan Earthquake. Year- and sex-specific dietary patterns were determined by the principal component analysis.

Setting: Evacuation and nonevacuation zones in Fukushima, Japan.

*Participants:* Eligible participants aged  $\geq 16$  years answered the FFQ (*n* 67 358 in 2011, n 48 377 in 2012 and n 40 742 in 2013).

Results: Three identified dietary patterns were assessed similarly in men and women and among years: typical, juice and meat. In total participants, the Spearman's correlation coefficients between two survey years were 0.70-0.74 for the typical, 0.58-0.66 for the juice and 0.50-0.54 for the meat pattern scores. Adjusted for sociodemographic factors, evacuees had lower typical pattern scores, higher juice pattern scores and the same meat pattern scores compared with non-evacuees. The means of typical pattern scores in evacuees and it of juice pattern scores in non-evacuees continued declining over years. Similar profiles of dietary patterns and trends of pattern scores were observed in participants  $(n \ 22 \ 805)$  who had provided three dietary assessments.

*Conclusions:* Changes of dietary patterns have been observed between 2011 and 2013. Careful investigation of those with low intake of typical pattern foods and promotion of them, particularly in evacuees, are needed.

Keywords **Dietary pattern** Food frequency questionnaire Fukushima Health Management Survey Evacuee Principal component analysis

The Great East Japan Earthquake occurred on 11 March 2011, followed by a tsunami and a nuclear disaster, forcing the long-term evacuation of 185 000 residents from widespread surrounding areas. To monitor the long-term health of Fukushima residents, the prefectural government assigned the Fukushima Medical University to design and implement health management surveys for this population starting in May of 2011. Given that many evacuees who had

moved to the government-designated evacuation zone could have changed their lifestyle, diet, exercise and other personal habits, their risk of developing lifestyle diseases, such as CVD, could have increased<sup>(1,2)</sup>. Having an understanding of residents' dietary stability after the disaster is important, particularly for Fukushima evacuees.

Changes in nutrient intake can be difficult to evaluate and might not be accurately reflected over time. Dietary

R Public Health Nutrition

patterns, however, more closely resemble actual eating behaviours for studying the synergistic effects of multiple food groups rather than single food groups or nutrients; thus, foods eaten in combination could be used to learn about changes in people's dietary habits<sup>(3)</sup>. Investigational methods of analysis of changes and/or the stability of dietary patterns identified by principal component analysis have been inconsistent in longitudinal studies<sup>(4)</sup>. The aim of this study was to determine whether dietary patterns changed between 2011 and 2013 in Fukushima residents after the 11 March disaster in terms of the Fukushima Health Management Survey (FHMS).

### Methods

## Study participants

The FHMS was initiated in 2011 after the great earthquake. The target population for the Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey, a part of FHMS, was 210 189 residents comprising those living along the radiation disclosure areas<sup>(5)</sup>. The evacuation zone was specified by the government according to spatial radiation dose rates, and residents in Hirono Town, Naraha Town, Tomioka Town, Kawauchi Village, Okuma Town, Futaba Town, Namie Town, Katsurao Village and Iitate Village, as well as those in some areas of Tamura City, Minami-Soma City, Kawamata Town and Date City, were defined as evacuees. Residents in rest areas of Tamura City, Minami-Soma City, Kawamata Town and Date City and those in municipalities other than the evacuation zone were defined as non-evacuees<sup>(2,5)</sup>. The details of the study protocol and the baseline profiles have been described in a previous publication<sup>(5)</sup>. We used data from the Mental Health and Lifestyle Survey conducted in 2011, 2012 and 2013, which contained a self-administered questionnaire on demographic characteristics, medical history, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, physical activity, occupation and other factors, as well as a FFQ. Participants (73 368 in 2011, 54 063 in 2012 and 45 233 in 2013) aged 16 years and older were assessed for this study.

#### Dietary intake assessment

A short-form FFQ was used to examine the food intake of nineteen food items during the preceding 6 months. The FFQ used in this study was a modified version of the one used in the Hiroshima and Nagasaki Life Span Study<sup>(6)</sup>. In the validation study of the original FFQ, the frequency of food intake as measured by the FFQ was moderately correlated with food intake as measured by the 24-h recall records, for example, the Spearman's correlation coefficient of fruit, milk, miso soup, beef/pork, rice and bread was between 0.14 and  $0.34^{(6)}$ . The nineteen food items were divided into six food groups: non-juice fruits/vegetables (fruit, green vegetables, red and orange vegetables, and light-coloured vegetables); fruit/vegetable juices; meat

(chicken, beef, pork, ham and sausages); soya bean products (fermented soya beans, soya milk, miso soup, tofu, and boiled beans); fish (raw and cooked) and dairy products (milk, yogurt and lactobacillus drinks). Participants were asked how frequently they consumed individual food items, with six response choices: none, <1, 1–2, 3–4, 5–6 times/week or every day.

#### Statistical analysis

We excluded participants who had more than three missing pieces of responses regarding dietary items<sup>(7)</sup>, leaving 156 477 participants (67 358 in 2011, 48 377 in 2012 and 40 742 in 2013) for this analysis (online Supplemental Figure S1). For the surveys with missing answers to the dietary questions (13.5% missing one and 4.7% missing two), we used the median value of frequency of that food item, by survey year and sex, to replace the missing values<sup>(7)</sup>. For the frequency of dietary intake for each food group, the daily midpoint for the frequency category was used, for example, 3–4 times per week was assessed as 0.5 times/d<sup>(7)</sup>.

All the data were analysed using the SAS statistical software package ver. 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute). Dietary patterns were derived from a year- and sex-specific factor analysis of nineteen food items without alcohol consumption by using the FACTOR Procedure of SAS. A varimax rotation was used for the identified factors to improve their interpretability. Factor numbers were selected mainly according to eigenvalues >1.5, scree plots and factor interpretability. Food items with absolute factor loadings  $\geq 0.3$  were considered to account for each component<sup>(8)</sup>. The derived dietary patterns (factors) were labelled based on food items with high factor loadings for each factor. Factor scores for each dietary pattern in an individual were estimated as a linear combination of standardised values for food items and standardised scoring coefficients. Dietary pattern scores (the factor scores) were calculated for available participants in 2010, 2011 and 2013, respectively.

Significant trends of dietary intake proportions were examined using the Cochran-Armitage test with setting up the frequency of  $\geq 0.5$  time/d as the cut-off value. The associations between individual dietary scores at the various time points were assessed using Spearman's correlation coefficient. The general linear regression models were applied to examine the difference of means of three dietary patterns' scores, respectively, between evacuees and non-evacuees. The lifestyle covariates of impact on dietary intake were selected based on the previous publications for FHMS<sup>(7,9)</sup>, which including age (continuous), smoking habits (no, former or current), alcohol drinking (no, former or current), self-reported health condition (very good, good, normal, poor or very poor), educational level (elementary/junior high, high school, vocational college or undergraduate/graduate), physical activity (everyday, 2-4, 1 time/d or none), history of diagnosed chronic disease

## Dietary patterns in Fukushima after disaster

(at least one (hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, cancer, stroke, heart disease, diabetes or chronic hepatitis) or none), depression (weak (K6 < 13) or strong (K6  $\ge$  13)) and employment (no change, unemployment or change a job). In each independent category variable, a reference was assigned and the multiple comparisons of difference were examined by Dunnett tests. Least square means of dietary pattern scores stratified by survey years, sex and evacuated status were calculated, with Tukey tests for examining the differences among categories, and the same other covariates for adjustment.

A sensitivity analysis was given to 22 805 participants who contributed FFQs for the above approaches for all 3 years, including the derivation of dietary patterns, examinations of correlation coefficients of each pattern scores among years and comparisons of means of dietary pattern scores between evacuees and non-evacuees and other groups of covariates by the general linear regression analysis. All P values reported were two-sided, and the significance level was set at <0.05.

## Results

Participants' characteristics are shown in Table 1. Approximately 63.5% of evacuees completed the surveys. Around half of the participants had a high school education, and more than 17% of the participants reported having a poor health condition. Current smokers were more than 28%, and alcohol drinkers were 60% in men, whereas the rates were more than 8 and 25% in women, respectively. Approximately 50% of participants had at least one chronic disease historically diagnosed. About 55% of participants had daily physical activity more than once. Both men and women had the

Table 1	Participants'	characteristics,	Fukushima	Health	Management	Survey,	2011-20	13 ( <i>n</i>	156	477	)
---------	---------------	------------------	-----------	--------	------------	---------	---------	---------------	-----	-----	---

	Men ( <i>n</i> 68 457)						Women ( <i>n</i> 88 020)					
	2011 ( <i>n</i> 29 3	l 43)	2012 ( <i>n</i> 21 1	2012 ( <i>n</i> 21 182)		2013 ( <i>n</i> 17 932)		2011 ( <i>n</i> 38 015)		2012 ( <i>n</i> 27 195)		3 810)
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age	54.8	18·1	57.7	17.1	59.3	16.6	55	18·9	56.9	18·3	58	17.8
Evacuation	<u> </u>	/0	<u> 11</u>	/0	<u> </u>	/0	<u> </u>	/0	<u> </u>	/0	<u>11</u>	/0
No	13 163	11.0	5838	27.6	6167	34.4	17 087	11.0	7180	26.4	7507	33.3
Ves	16 159	55.1	15 323	72.3	11 760	65.6	20 901	55.0	10 008	73.5	15 209	66.7
Education	10 155	55.1	15 525	72.0	11700	05.0	20 301	55.0	13 330	75.5	15 203	00.7
Elementany/junior high school	7102	24.5	5111	24.1	/100	22.0	8740	23.0	6100	22.4	/721	20.7
High school	14 462	10.3	10 200	/8.2	8675	18.1	17 887	47.1	12 575	16.2	10 5/7	46.2
Vocational college	3006	10.6	2253	10.6	1022	10.7	7880	20.8	581/	21.4	5020	22.0
Undergraduate/graduate	3588	12.2	2200	13.2	2583	14.4	1057	5.1	1545	5.7	1/60	6.4
Health condition	5566	12.2	2190	10.2	2000	14.4	1957	5.1	1545	5.7	1403	0.4
Venu good	1/181	5.0	002	1.3	652	3.6	1206	3.1	840	3.1	600	3.1
Good	1608	16.0	3731	17.6	3201	17.0	1200	11.3	3621	13.3	3107	14.0
Normal	17 500	50.6	10 247	59.2	10.264	57.0	24 040	62.2	16 915	61.9	12 960	60.9
Poor	4604	15 7	2210	15 7	2022	16.0	6710	177	4562	16.9	2790	16.6
Vonupoor	612	2 1	2013	1 0	320	10.3	0/12	2.1	4303	10.0	120	10.0
Smoke	015	2.1	502	1.0	525	1.0	000	2.1	505	1.3	400	1.3
No	8083	27.5	5388	25.4	1000	27.4	20 5/7	77.7	18 100	66.0	16 /00	71.0
Former	11 052	37.7	7304	2/.0	4303	28.8	23 347	8.5	2041	7.5	1781	7.8
Current	0029	22.0	6212	20.0	5190	20.0	2060	10.4	2041	9.7	1957	0.1
Aloohol drink	9920	33.0	0312	29.0	5160	20.9	3909	10.4	2000	0.1	1057	0.1
No	8840	30.2	6258	20.5	5177	28.0	26.061	68.6	17 053	66.0	15 137	66.4
Former	1643	5.6	0250	23.5	816	20.9	20 001	1.0	/17	1.5	3/18	1.5
Current	19 672	62.6	10 947	4·0	11 590	64.6	10 / 21	07.4	6925	25.1	6026	26.5
Developed activity	10 0/3	03.0	12 047	00.7	11 569	04.0	10 431	27.4	0000	20.1	0030	20.0
Evondov	1007	167	2201	16.0	2066	171	4461	117	2042	11 2	2555	11.0
2 4 times/d	4007	10.1	4201	20.2	3000	20.6	7272	10./	5706	21	2000	22.1
2-4 lines/u 1 timo/d	4252	14 5	2265	15 /	2795	15 5	1010	12.4	4249	15.6	2500	15 /
No.	4252	14.0	0205	10.4	2705	10.0	20 562	5/1	4240	50.9	11 501	50.5
History of chronic discases	14 130	40.2	9094	40.7	0295	40.3	20 302	54.1	13 011	50.0	11 521	50.5
No	11 604	20.0	7051	24.2	6120	21 2	19 26/	10 0	11 650	100	0017	125
At least one*	17 545	50.9	12 950	65 A	11 725	65 /	10 204	40·0	15 429	42·0	10 905	40.0 56 1
Depression	17 545	59.0	13 850	05.4	11735	05.4	19 500	51.5	15 420	50.7	12 000	50.1
Mook (K6 < 12)	05 557	07 1	10 0/1	00 0	16 201	00.2	21 254	00 E	02 041	0E E	20 000	077
$\frac{1}{100}$	20 007	11.0	10 041	00.9	1404	90.3	6017	16.4	23 241	10.0	20 000	10.0
Short $(NO \ge 13)$	3401	11.9	2037	9.1	1494	0.3	0217	10.4	3343	13.0	2407	10.9
No obongo	10 541	40.7	0207	4.4	0010	45.0	16 010	44.0	10 106	44.0	10 554	46.0
Linomployment	12 341	42.7	5321	44 07 0	0213	40.0	10 010	44·2	12 190 5000	44·0	10 004	40.3
	E117	17/	3/10	2/.0	4000	2/.0	10 190	20·0 01 0	5022	00.0	4429	01.0
Change a job	5117	17.4	41/9	19.7	3437	19.2	0291	21.0	0300	23.2	4901	21.0

\*Hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, cancer, stroke, heart disease, diabetes or chronic hepatitis.

4

The means of soya milk, boiled beans, fruit juice and vegetable juice intake were <0.17 times/d, and of miso soup, rice, vegetables and fish were >0.41 times/d both in men and women. Meanwhile, the means of yogurt and fruit intake were >0.4 times/d in women. Over the study years, the significantly increased trends of eating more than 0.5 times/d were observed in most of the dietary foods/food groups in both men and women, whereas the significantly decreased trends were rice and miso soup in men and rice, ham and miso soup in women. No significant trends of changes in frequency were observed for beef, bread and ham in men and for bread, tofu, white vegetables and fruit juice in women over 3 years (online Supplemental Table S1).

The factor loadings of food items were similar both in men and over 3 years (Fig. 1). The typical pattern included the main types of vegetables, tofu (bean curd), miso soup, fish, bean products and rice; the juice pattern included vegetable juice, fruit juice, yogurt, soya milk, boiled beans, fruit and milk; and the meat pattern included beef/pork, chicken and ham/sausage. Online Supplemental Table S2 shows the factor loadings for the identified dietary patterns.

Dietary scores were highly correlated for three patterns both in men and women over years (Table 2). In total participants, the Spearman's correlation coefficients between two survey years were 0.70-0.74 for the typical, 0.58-0.66 for the juice and 0.50-0.54 for the meat pattern scores. Both in the analysis of total participants and the sensitivity analysis, the coefficients of the typical and the meat pattern were slightly higher in women than those in men; and those of the juice pattern were lower in women than those in men. In total participants, the coefficients of scores among the 3 years were 0.72-0.75 for the typical pattern, 0.62-0.68 for the juice pattern and 0.52-0.55 for the meat pattern in non-evacuees, whereas they were 0.68-0.73, 0.57-0.65 and 0.49-0.54, respectively, for the corresponding pattern scores in evacuees. Consistent coefficients were observed for evacuees and non-evacuees in the sensitivity analysis (data not shown).

Table 3 shows the adjusted means and 95% CIs of dietary pattern scores among sociodemographic factors. For most factor categories, the pattern scores were positive. The evacuees had negative typical pattern scores; the typical pattern scores were lower, but the juice pattern scores were higher than in non-evacuees. The higher the education level, the higher the scores of typical and juice patterns. As the health conditions declined, the typical and meat pattern scores declined. Current smokers had lower typical and meat pattern scores than non-smokers. Alcohol drinkers had higher scores of typical pattern but lower scores of juice and meat pattern than nondrinkers. Participants with more

physical activities had higher scores in each pattern. Residents with strong depression had lower typical pattern scores but higher juice pattern scores comparing to residents with weak depression. In addition, the unemployed and those changed a job after disaster had lower typical pattern scores than those without changes. Furthermore, the distributions of pattern scores were not affected by the subsample of the total 22 805 participants who completed three consecutive surveys (data not shown).

Adjusted means of dietary pattern scores in evacuees and non-evacuees were plotted along survey years in Fig. 2 (a, for total participants; b, for those who provided all three dietary assessments). Distributions of each dietary pattern scores between men and women were very similar. Both in men and women, means of typical pattern scores were higher in non-evacuees than in evacuees (P < 0.001 in each year), while those of juice pattern were lower in non-evacuees than in evacuees (P < 0.001in each year, except for women in 2011, P = 0.061). The typical pattern scores were positive and declining in non-evacuees (2013 v. 2011: P=0.001 in men and P = 0.021 in women), while they were negative and more sharply declining in evacuees over years (2013 v. 2011: P < 0.001 both in men and women). The juice pattern scores significantly decreased in non-evacuees (2013 v. 2011: P < 0.001 both in men and women) but not in evacuees (2013 v. 2011: P = 0.151 in men and P = 0.142 in women) over years. Meat pattern scores showed significantly increasing both in men and women regardless of the evacuation status.

For sensitivity analysis, the same three dietary patterns were identified and the distributions of means of pattern scores were similar to the results of total participants (Fig. 2(b)). However, evacuees had the significant decline of typical pattern scores (2013 *v*. 2011: P < 0.001 in men and P < 0.001 in women), while non-evacuees had the significant decline of juice pattern scores (2013 *v*. 2011: P = 0.013 in men and P = 0.006 in women). In contrast, there were no significant differences among means of typical pattern scores in non-evacuees (2013 *v*. 2011: P = 0.846 in men and P = 0.833) and of juice pattern score in evacuees (2013 *v*. 2011: P = 0.883 in men and P = 0.894 in women).

### Discussion

We identified three dietary patterns: the typical, the juice and the meat pattern, and we examined the dietary stability over the years as a whole. The analysis results suggested that there was little variation in food consumption patterns in both men and women over the years. Based on the dietary scores, we described the discrepancy of dietary patterns among sociodemographic factors.

The Japanese dietary pattern consists of a combination of dietary staples, side dishes and soup<sup>(10)</sup>. Although direct

## Dietary patterns in Fukushima after disaster

**S** 

NS Public Health Nutrition



Fig. 1 Factor loadings of food items by sex and survey years. (a and c) O, Men; +, women; (b) O, women; +, men

Table 2 Spearman's correlation coefficients between dietary pattern scores, Fukushima Health Management Survey, 2011–2013

		Typical			Juice			Meat			
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013		
All participants											
Men											
2011	1.00			1.00			1.00				
2012	0.70	1.00		0.63	1.00		0.52	1.00			
2013	0.68	0.73	1.00	0.59	0.68	1.00	0.48	0.52	1.00		
Women											
2011	1.00			1.00			1.00				
2012	0.72	1.00		0.62	1.00		0.54	1.00			
2013	0.71	0.75	1.00	0.58	0.65	1.00	0.52	0.56	1.00		
Participants wi	th all three die	etary assessm	ents								
Men		-									
2011	1.00			1.00			1.00				
2012	0.71	1.00		0.65	1.00		0.52	1.00			
2013	0.68	0.73	1.00	0.60	0.68	1.00	0.49	0.53	1.00		
Women											
2011	1.00			1.00			1.00				
2012	0.73	1.00		0.62	1.00		0.55	1.00			
2013	0.72	0.76	1.00	0.58	0.65	1.00	0.52	0.57	1.00		

comparisons could not be made across publications, the three patterns derived in our study had dietary categories similar to other studies<sup>(11)</sup>. For example, compared with other studies, the identified typical pattern in this study corresponded to the 'traditional Japanese'<sup>(12,13)</sup> or 'healthy' pattern<sup>(14-16)</sup>; the meat pattern to the 'animal food'<sup>(12,13,17)</sup> or 'Western' pattern<sup>(14)</sup> and the juice pattern to the 'high dairy'<sup>(12)</sup>, 'bread-dairy'<sup>(13)</sup> or 'bread' pattern<sup>(14)</sup>. After the great earthquake, a study from the neighbouring prefecture identified a 'prudent pattern' and a 'meat pattern' by a shortform FFQ, in which the prudent pattern was similar to the typical and juice pattern in our study<sup>(17)</sup>. Some studies explored dietary patterns including alcoholic drinks, tea or coffee<sup>(12,16)</sup>. The Osaki cohort study identified nine Japanese Diet Index Scores by a FFQ with thirty-nine food items, which included rice, miso soup, seaweed, pickles, green and yellow vegetables, fish, green tea, beef and pork, and coffee<sup>(12)</sup>. Another dietary study identified more patterns, such as 'dessert'<sup>(14)</sup>. As we know, for FFQs with different food items and/or surveyed in different populations, the dietary patterns identified might be different. Nevertheless, the study FFQ, although it was short-form, the similar coverage of main food groups could be used to clarify the stability of dietary patterns in this study population over the years.

The advantage of this study is that the abundant data provided for an analysis with a strong statistical power. Although the response rate to the FFQ survey was 60.7%overall in 2011, among 67 358 participants in the 2011 survey, 63.4% completed the surveys in 2011 and 2012, and 33.9% participants completed all three rounds of surveys, showing similar results. The original FFQ was moderately correlated with the 24-h dietary records; our study by using the slightly modified FFQ could assess the changes of dietary food patterns among sociodemographic groups. The present study showed moderate-to-higher correlations between dietary pattern scores over 3 years, which were similar to other studies<sup>(4,8,11,18)</sup>. Further, by the sequential annual surveys, we could closely monitor residents' dietary status.

Mulder et al.<sup>(19)</sup> had reported that the stability in dietary score after 4 years was moderate (correlations of 0.61), but stability varied according to lifestyle behaviour. It is important to include repeated measures of dietary assessment over time to incorporate individual changes in complex dietary behaviour<sup>(18)</sup>. In general, we observed that dietary pattern scores were lower in the evacuees than in the nonevacuees. The FMHS has reported that living in nonhome conditions has been associated with a poor dietary intake of fruits and vegetables, meat, soya bean products and dairy products<sup>(7)</sup>. Our analysis showed similar but more comprehensive results, for example, higher intakes of the juice pattern in evacuees than in non-evacuees. A French study has shown that migrant status was associated with a risk of low-frequency consumption of fruits and vegetables, meat, seafood, eggs and dairy products<sup>(20)</sup>. Non-evacuees or those who lived in a relative's home would be more familiar with nearby living environment and perceived better access to supermarkets, promoting a more balanced daily dietary intake<sup>(7,20,21)</sup>. This result might reflect the fact that the evacuees who were living at shelters after the disaster did not have full access to or consume enough fresh vegetables. It has been reported that 79.1% of shelters at the first month after the earthquake had a food supply shortage, for example, each day 18.8% of shelters had three dishes of vegetables, including soup, and 14.5% of shelters had three dishes of meat and fish, 13.0% shelters had two dishes of fruits, meat and fish and only 15.9% of shelters had milk and dairy products once a day<sup>(22)</sup>. The Fukushima neighbouring



N         Mean         95 % Cl         P values         Mean         95 % Cl         P values         Mean         95 % Cl         P values           Year         2011         57 861         0.02         0.01, 0.04         Ref.         0.17, 0.20         Ref.         0.05         0.0001         0.001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.0001         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.00         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.06         0.00         0.00         <				Typical			Juice		Meat			
Year          Year         Year <th< th=""><th></th><th>Ν</th><th>Mean</th><th>95 % CI</th><th>P values</th><th>Mean</th><th>95 % CI</th><th>P values</th><th>Mean</th><th>95 % CI</th><th>P values</th></th<>		Ν	Mean	95 % CI	P values	Mean	95 % CI	P values	Mean	95 % CI	P values	
2011         57 861         0.02         0.01, 0.04         Ref.         0.18         0.17, 0.20         Ref.         0.05         0.03, 0.06           2012         31 931         -0.07         -0.08, -0.01         <0.0001	Year											
2012         34 196        0.03        0.04,0.01         <-0.001         0.14         0.12, 0.16         <-0.0001         0.07         0.06, 0.09           Sex         Men         65 7.35        0.01         -0.03, 0.003         Ref.         0.20         0.15         0.13, 0.16         <-0.0001         0.07         0.06, 0.09           Wen         66 353         -0.04         -0.08, 0.003         Ref.         0.20         0.13         0.11, 0.15         Ref.         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Ves         77 888         -0.08         -0.01, -0.07         <-0.001         0.13         0.11, 0.15         Ref.         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Education level         Effection level         25         -0.06         -0.07, -0.13         Ref.         -0.06         -0.04         Ref.         0.07         0.05, 0.09           Uvaciation level         25         0.04         -0.06         -0.001         0.13         0.11, 0.15         Ref.         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Vesition level         27.44         0.06         0.04, 0.08         0.0001         0.23         0.23, 0.37         <0.0001         0.04         0.02         0.06         0.0001         0.13         0.16	2011	57 861	0.02	0.01, 0.04	Ref.	0.18	0.17, 0.20	Ref.	0.05	0.03, 0.06	Ref.	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2012	34 196	-0.03	-0.04, -0.01	<0.0001	0.14	0.12, 0.16	<0.0001	0.07	0.06, 0.09	<0.001	
Sex         Non-         66 735         -0.01         -0.03,0.003         Ref.         0.22         Non-         66 0.60         0.05         0.09           Veracuation         45 200         0.03         0.01,0.05         Ref.         0.13         0.11,0.13         <0.0001	2013	31 031	-0.07	-0.09, -0.05	<0.0001	0.15	0.13, 0.16	<0.0001	0.10	0.09, 0.12	<0.0001	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex			,			,			,		
Women         66 353         -0-04         -0-06, -0-02         <0-001         0-11         0-10, 0-13         <0-001         0-07         0-05, 0-09           No         45 200         0.03         0.01, 0.05         Ref.         0-13         0-11, 0.15         Ref.         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Education level         -         -         -0-17, -0.13         Ref.         -0-06         -0.08, -0.04         Ref.         0.001         0.04         0.02, 0.06           Vocational college         22 783         0.04         0.02, 0.06         <0.0001	Men	56 735	-0.01	-0.03, 0.003	Ref.	0.20	0.18, 0.22	Ref.	0.08	0.06, 0.10	Ref.	
Evacuation No 45 200 0.03 0.01, 0.05 Ref. 0.13 0.11, 0.15 Ref. 0.08 0.06, 0.09 Yes 77 888 -0.08 -0.17, -0.13 Ref0.06 -0.08, -0.04 Ref. 0.07 0.05, 0.09 Education level Education level Education level Elementary/junior high school 25 162 -0.15 -0.17, -0.13 Ref0.06 -0.08, -0.04 Ref. 0.07 0.05, 0.09 High school 62 399 -0.06 -0.07, -0.04 <0.0001 0.13 0.11, 0.15 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.06 Vocational college 27 83 0.04 0.02, 0.06 <0.0001 0.22 0.22 0.24 <0.0001 0.11 0.09, 0.13 Undergraduate/graduat	Women	66 353	-0.04	-0.06, -0.02	<0.0001	0.11	0.10, 0.13	<0.0001	0.07	0.05, 0.09	0.297	
No         45 200         0.03         0.01, 0.05         Ref.         0.13         0.11, 0.15         Ref.         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Education level         -0.10, -0.07         <0.0001	Evacuation			,			,			,		
Yes         77         788         -0.08         -0.10, -0.07         <0.0001         0.18         0.17, 0.20         <0.0001         0.08         0.06, 0.09           Education level         25         162         -0.15         -0.17, -0.13         Ref.         -0.06         -0.08, -0.04         Ref.         0.001         0.13         0.11, 0.15         <0.0001	No	45 200	0.03	0.01.0.05	Ref.	0.13	0.11.0.15	Ref.	0.08	0.06. 0.09	Ref.	
Education level         Construction         Constructi	Yes	77 888	-0.08	-0.10, -0.07	<0.0001	0.18	0.17.0.20	<0.0001	0.08	0.06. 0.09	0.997	
Elementaryfunion high school         25 162         -0-15         -0-17, -0-13         Ref.         -0.06         -0.08         -0.04         -0.001         0.013         0.011         0.015         -0.001         0.014         0.022, 0.06           High school         62 399         -0.06         -0.07, -0.04         <0.0001	Education level			,		• • •	,			,		
High school       62 339       -0.06       -0.07       -0.04       <0.0001       0.13       0.11       0.15       <0.0001       0.04       0.02, 0.06         Vocational college       22 783       0.04       0.02, 0.06       <0.0001	Elementary/junior high school	25 162	-0.15	-0.17, -0.13	Ref.	-0.06	-0.080.04	Ref.	0.07	0.05, 0.09	Bef.	
Vocational college         22 783         0.04         0.02, 0.06         <00001         0.22         0.2, 0.24         <00001         0.11         0.09, 0.13           Undergraduate/graduate         12 744         0.06         0.04, 0.08         <00001	High school	62 399	-0.06	-0.07, -0.04	<0.0001	0.13	0.11, 0.15	<0.0001	0.04	0.02, 0.06	<0.001	
Undergraduate/graduate         12 744         0.06         0.04,0.08         <0.0001         0.35         0.32,0.37         <0.0001         0.08         0.06,0.10           Health condition         Very good         4998         0.12         0.09,0.14         Ref.         0.14         0.11,0.17         Ref.         0.14         0.703         0.09         0.07,0.11           Good         19 739         0.08         0.06,0.10         -0.024         0.0001         0.12         0.10,0.13         0.295         0.05         0.04,0.07           Normal         76 604         -0.01         -0.02,0.01         -0.0001         0.12         0.10,0.13         0.295         0.05         0.04,0.07           Poor         19 598         -0.10         -0.11,-0.08         <0.0001	Vocational college	22 783	0.04	0.02 0.06	<0.0001	0.22	0.2 0.24	<0.0001	0.11	0.09, 0.13	<0.0001	
Health condition       12 11       0 00       0 01, 0 00       0 00, 0 10       0 00, 0 10       0 00, 0 10         Very good       4998       0.12       0.09, 0.14       Ref.       0.14       0.11, 0.17       Ref.       0.14       0.11, 0.17         Sodo       19 739       0.08       0.06, 0.10       0.044       0.12       0.10, 0.14       0.703       0.09       0.07, 0.11         Normal       76 604       -0.01       -0.02, 0.01       0.404       0.12       0.10, 0.14       0.703       0.09       0.07, 0.11         Normal       76 604       -0.01       -0.02, 0.01       0.024       0.20, 0.29       <0.0001	Undergraduate/graduate	12 744	0.06	0.04 0.08	<0.0001	0.35	0.32 0.37	<0.0001	0.08	0.06 0.10	0.438	
Wary good         4998         0.12         0.09, 0.14         Ref.         0.14         0.11, 0.17         Ref.         0.14         0.11, 0.17           Good         19 739         0.08         0.06, 0.10         0.044         0.12         0.10, 0.14         0.703         0.09         0.07, 0.11           Normal         76 604         -0.01         -0.02, 0.01         <0.0001	Health condition		0.00			0.00	0.02, 0.07		0.00	0 00, 0 10	0 100	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Constraint} \mbo$	Very good	4998	0.12	0.09 0.14	Ref	0.14	0.11 0.17	Bef	0.14	0.11 0.17	Bef	
Normal 76 604 -0.01 -0.02, 0.01 -0.02 0.01 -0.02 0.01 0.12 0.10, 0.13 0.295 0.05 0.04, 0.07 Poor 19 598 -0.10 -0.11, -0.08 <0.0001 0.17 0.15, 0.18 0.160 0.05 0.03, 0.06 Very poor 2149 -0.22 -0.26, -0.18 <0.0001 0.24 0.20, 0.29 <0.0001 0.05 0.01, 0.09 Smoke No 68 495 0.07 0.06, 0.09 Ref. 0.24 0.22, 0.26 Ref. 0.06 0.04, 0.07 Former 27 823 0.002 -0.02, 0.02 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.06 <0.0001 0.11 0.09 0.03 Current 26 770 -0.15 -0.17, -0.13 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.06 <0.0001 0.11 0.09 0.13 0.22 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.21 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.11 0.08 0.06, 0.09 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.14 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.05 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.20 Ref. 0.29 0.27, 0.31 Ref. 0.12 0.10, 0.04 Everyday 15 751 0.18 0.16, 0.20 Ref. 0.29 0.27, 0.31 Ref. 0.12 0.10, 0.04 2-4 times/d 18 030 -0.10 -0.12, -0.08 <0.0001 0.14 0.12, 0.16 <0.0001 0.03 0.01, 0.05 History of chronic disease† No 5669 -0.01 -0.03, 0.002 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 Or 2, 0.05 -0.02 -0.0001 -0.05 -0.07, -0.03 <0.0001 0.03 0.01, 0.05 Strong (K6 ≥ 13) 108 652 0.01 -0.01, 0.02 Ref. 0.12 0.11, 0.14 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 Depression Week (K6 <13) 108 652 0.01 -0.01, 0.02 Ref. 0.12 0.11, 0.14 Ref. 0.07 0.05, 0.09 Strong (K6 ≥ 13) 14 436 -0.06 -0.08, -0.04 <0.0001 0.19 0.17, 0.21 <0.0001 0.08 0.06, 0.10 Employment No change 59 608 -0.02 -0.03 -0.001 Bef 0.15 0.18 Ref 0.10 0.08 0.06, 0.10 Employment	Good	19 739	0.08	0.06 0.10	0.044	0.12	0.10 0.14	0.703	0.09	0.07 0.11	< 0.001	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Normal	76 604	-0.01	-0.02 0.01	<0.0001	0.12	0.10 0.13	0.295	0.05	0.04 0.07	<0.0001	
Very poor2149-0.22-0.26-0.18<0.0010.240.200.03<0.0010.050.010.080.06SmokeNo68 4950.070.06, 0.09Ref.0.240.22, 0.26Ref.0.060.04, 0.07Former27 8230.002-0.02, 0.02<0.0001	Poor	19 598	-0.10	-0.11 -0.08	<0.0001	0.17	0.15 0.18	0.160	0.05	0.03 0.06	<0.0001	
No68 495 Former0.02 27 8230.002 0.002-0.02, 0.02 0.0020.001 0.0010.24 0.22, 0.26Ref. Ref. 0.22, 0.0010.06 0.02, 0.02 0.0010.06 0.04, 0.07 0.080.04 0.09 0.0110.06 0.0010.04, 0.07 0.040.02, 0.06 0.02, 0.020.001 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.060.02, 0.001 0.0010.06 0.0010.04, 0.08 0.0010.011 0.040.09 0.02, 0.06 0.00010.011 0.0110.09, 0.13 0.0110.09 0.0130.11 0.09, 0.130.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02, 0.06 0.00110.0001 0.0110.11 0.09, 0.130.09 0.010.011 0.09, 0.130.02 0.0010.011 0.010.09 0.010.013 0.010.011 0.09, 0.130.02 0.0010.011 0.010.09 0.010.011 0.090.03 0.020.06 0.00010.011 0.040.02 0.027, 0.34 0.00010.011 0.040.02 0.00010.04 0.02, 0.050.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.050.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.050.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.050.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02 0.0010.011 0.040.02 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.050.02 0.0010.02 0.0010.04 0.02, 0.0010.04 0.02 0.0010.02 0.0010.02 0.0010.02 0.0010.02 0.0010.02 0.02 0.0010.02 0.02 0.0010.02 0.02 0.0010.02 0.02 0.001 <td>Very poor</td> <td>2149</td> <td>-0.22</td> <td>-0.26 -0.18</td> <td>&lt;0.0001</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.20 0.29</td> <td>&lt;0.0001</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.01 0.09</td> <td>&lt;0.0001</td>	Very poor	2149	-0.22	-0.26 -0.18	<0.0001	0.24	0.20 0.29	<0.0001	0.05	0.01 0.09	<0.0001	
No 68 495 0.07 0.06, 0.09 Ref. 0.24 0.22, 0.26 Ref. 0.06 0.04, 0.07 Former 27 823 0.002 -0.02, 0.02 <0.0001 0.20 0.18, 0.22 <0.0001 0.06 0.04, 0.08 Former 26 770 -0.15 -0.17, -0.13 <0.0001 0.04 0.02, 0.06 <0.0001 0.11 0.09 0.13 Alcohol drink No 61 965 -0.04 -0.05, -0.02 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.08 0.06, 0.09 Former 3794 -0.01 -0.04, 0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.11 0.08, 0.15 Current 57 329 -0.02 -0.02 0.210 0.30 0.27, 0.34 <0.0001 0.14 0.02, 0.05 Physical activity Everyday 15 751 0.18 0.16, 0.20 Ref. 0.29 0.27, 0.31 Ref. 0.12 0.10, 0.14 2-4 times/d 18 030 -0.10 -0.12, -0.08 <0.0001 0.25 0.23, 0.27 <0.0001 0.03 0.01, 0.05 0.09 History of chronic disease† No 55 669 -0.01 -0.03, 0.002 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 At least one 67 419 -0.04 -0.02, 0.02 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 At least one 67 419 -0.04 -0.02, 0.00 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 At least one 67 419 -0.04 -0.05, -0.02 Ref. 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08, 0.11 At least one 67 419 -0.04 -0.05, -0.02 0.0001 0.17 0.15, 0.19 0.001 0.05 0.04, 0.07 Depression Week (K6 <13) 108 652 0.01 -0.01, 0.02 Ref. 0.12 0.11, 0.14 Ref. 0.07 0.05, 0.09 Strong (K6 ≥ 13) 14 436 -0.06 -0.08, -0.04 <0.0001 0.19 0.17, 0.21 <0.0001 0.08 0.01 Employment No change 59 608 -0.02 -0.03 -0.001 Bef. 0.16 0.15 0.13, 0.16 Ref. 0.10 0.08 0.01 Employment No change	Smoke	2140	0 22	020, 010		024	0 20, 0 20	<0.0001	0.00	001,000	<0.0001	
No05 1820.002-0.02, 0.02-0.0010.020.12, 0.12-0.010.0010.040.020.0010.060.04, 0.08Current26 770-0.15-0.17, -0.13<0.00010.040.02, 0.06<0.00010.110.09, 0.13Alcohol drinkNo61 965-0.04-0.05, -0.02Ref.0.150.13, 0.16Ref.0.08010.110.09, 0.13No57 329-0.01-0.04, 0.020.2100.300.27, 0.34<0.00010.110.08, 0.15Current57 329-0.02-0.04, -0.010.0180.020.004, 0.03<0.00010.040.02, 0.05Physical activityEveryday15 7510.180.16, 0.20Ref.0.290.27, 0.31Ref.0.120.10, 0.142-4 times/d23 4800.040.02, 0.06<0.00010.140.12, 0.16<0.00010.030.01, 0.05No65 827-0.23-0.24, -0.21<0.00010.140.12, 0.16<0.00010.090.07, 0.10History of chronic disease†No55 669-0.01-0.03, 0.002Ref.0.150.13, 0.16Ref.0.100.08, 0.11DepressionWeek (K6 < 13)108 6520.01-0.01, 0.02Ref.0.120.11, 0.14Ref.0.070.05, 0.09Strong (K6 ≥ 13)14 436-0.06-0.08, -0.04<0.00010.190.17, 0.21<0.00010.080.60, 0.10Employment <td>No</td> <td>68 495</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>0.06 0.09</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.22 0.26</td> <td>Ref</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.04 0.07</td> <td>Ref</td>	No	68 495	0.07	0.06 0.09	Ref	0.24	0.22 0.26	Ref	0.06	0.04 0.07	Ref	
Current26 02000010012000100200200010040020001001001009013Alcohol drinkNo61 965-0.04-0.05-0.02Ref.0.150.130.16Ref.0.020.06<0.0001	Former	27 823	0.002	-0.02 0.02	<0.0001	0.20	0.18 0.22	<0.0001	0.06	0.04 0.08	0.891	
Output Definition20 / 100.130.170.100.0010.040.02, 0.000.0010.110.03, 0.10No61 965-0.04-0.05, -0.02Ref.0.150.13, 0.16Ref.0.0010.110.08, 0.15Former3794-0.01-0.04, 0.020.2100.300.27, 0.34<0.0001	Current	26 770	_0.15	_0.17 _0.13	<0.0001	0.04	0.02 0.06	<0.0001	0.11	0.09 0.13	<0.0001	
No61 965 3794-0.04 -0.01-0.05, -0.02 -0.04, 0.02Ref.0.150.13, 0.16 0.30Ref.0.08 0.00010.06, 0.09 0.11Former3794 57 329-0.01 -0.02-0.04, 0.02 -0.04, -0.010.30 0.0180.27, 0.34 0.02<0.0001	Alcohol drink	20110	0.10	0.17, 0.10	<0.0001	0.04	0.02, 0.00	<0.0001	0.11	0.00, 0.10	<0.0001	
Former3794-0.01-0.04, 0.020.2100.300.27, 0.34<0.00010.110.08, 0.15Current57 329-0.02-0.04, -0.010.0180.020.004, 0.03<0.00010.010.040.02, 0.05Physical activityEveryday15 7510.180.16, 0.20Ref.0.290.27, 0.31Ref.0.120.10, 0.14Z-4 times/d23 4800.040.02, 0.06<0.00010.140.12, 0.16<0.00010.010.030.01, 0.05I time/d18 030-0.10-0.12, -0.08<0.00010.140.12, 0.16<0.00010.030.01, 0.05No65 827-0.23-0.24, -0.21<0.0001-0.150.13, 0.16Ref.0.100.08, 0.11No55 669-0.01-0.03, 0.002Ref.0.150.13, 0.16Ref.0.100.08, 0.11No55 669-0.01-0.03, -0.020.00010.170.15, 0.190.0010.050.04, 0.07Depression	No	61 965	-0.04	-0.05 -0.02	Ref	0.15	0.13 0.16	Ref	0.08	0.06 0.09	Ref	
Current $57329$ $-0.02$ $-0.04$ $-0.01$ $0.018$ $0.02$ $0.024$ $0.021$ $0.001$ $0.04$ $0.02$ $0.001$ Physical activityEveryday15751 $0.18$ $0.16$ $0.20$ Ref. $0.29$ $0.27$ $0.31$ Ref. $0.12$ $0.10$ $0.04$ $2-4$ times/d23 480 $0.04$ $0.02$ $0.06$ $<0.0001$ $0.25$ $0.23$ $0.27$ $<0.0001$ $0.07$ $0.05$ $0.09$ 1 time/d18 030 $-0.10$ $-0.12$ $-0.08$ $<0.0001$ $0.14$ $0.12$ $0.16$ $<0.0001$ $0.03$ $0.01$ $0.05$ No65 827 $-0.23$ $-0.24$ $-0.21$ $<0.0001$ $-0.05$ $-0.07$ $-0.03$ $<0.0001$ $0.09$ $0.07$ $0.10$ History of chronic disease†No55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03$ $0.002$ Ref. $0.15$ $0.13$ $0.16$ Ref. $0.10$ $0.08$ $0.11$ At least one67 419 $-0.04$ $-0.05$ $-0.02$ $0.0001$ $0.17$ $0.15$ $0.11$ $0.001$ $0.05$ $0.04$ $0.02$ Week (K6 < 13)	Former	3794	_0.01	-0.04 0.02	0.210	0.30	0.27 0.34	~0.0001	0.11	0.08 0.15	0.044	
Control0.020.020.010.010.020.0010.010.020.0010.020.020.0010.010.020.0010.020.020.0010.010.020.020.0010.020.020.0010.010.020.010.010.020.020.0010.010.010.020.020.0010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.020.010.010.010.010.02 <t< td=""><td>Current</td><td>57 329</td><td>_0.02</td><td>_0.04 _0.01</td><td>0.018</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.004 0.03</td><td>&lt;0.0001</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.02 0.05</td><td>&lt;0.0001</td></t<>	Current	57 329	_0.02	_0.04 _0.01	0.018	0.02	0.004 0.03	<0.0001	0.04	0.02 0.05	<0.0001	
Hysical dativity15 751 $0.18$ $0.16, 0.20$ Ref. $0.29$ $0.27, 0.31$ Ref. $0.12$ $0.10, 0.14$ 2-4 times/d23 480 $0.04$ $0.02, 0.06$ $<0.0001$ $0.25$ $0.23, 0.27$ $<0.0001$ $0.07$ $0.05, 0.09$ 1 time/d18 030 $-0.10$ $-0.12, -0.08$ $<0.0001$ $0.14$ $0.12, 0.16$ $<0.0001$ $0.03$ $0.01, 0.05$ No65 827 $-0.23$ $-0.24, -0.21$ $<0.0001$ $-0.05$ $-0.07, -0.03$ $<0.0001$ $0.09$ $0.07, 0.10$ History of chronic disease† $No$ 55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03, 0.002$ Ref. $0.15$ $0.13, 0.16$ Ref. $0.10$ $0.08, 0.11$ At least one67 419 $-0.04$ $-0.05, -0.02$ $0.0001$ $0.17$ $0.15, 0.19$ $0.001$ $0.05$ $0.04, 0.07$ Depression $Week$ (K6 < 13)	Physical activity	57 625	0.02	0.04, 0.01	0.010	0.02	0.004, 0.00	<0.0001	0.04	0.02, 0.03	<0.0001	
2-4 times/d       23 480       0.04       0.02, 0.06       <0.0001	Evendey	15 751	0.18	0.16 0.20	Rof	0.20	0.27 0.31	Rof	0.12	0.10 0.14	Rof	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2_1 times/d	23 /80	0.04	0.02 0.06	<0.0001	0.25	0.23 0.27	<0.0001	0.07	0.05 0.09	<0.0001	
No       65 827 $-0.23$ $-0.24$ $-0.01$ $-0.05$ $-0.07$ $-0.03$ $<0.0001$ $0.09$ $0.07$ $0.10$ History of chronic disease†       No       55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03$ $0.0011$ $-0.03$ $<0.0001$ $0.09$ $0.07$ $0.10$ History of chronic disease†       No       55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03$ $0.002$ Ref. $0.15$ $0.13$ $0.16$ Ref. $0.10$ $0.08$ $0.11$ At least one       67 419 $-0.04$ $-0.02$ $0.0001$ $0.17$ $0.15$ $0.13$ $0.16$ Ref. $0.01$ $0.05$ $0.04$ $0.07$ $0.08$ $0.11$ Depression       Week (K6 < 13)       108 652 $0.01$ $-0.01$ $0.02$ Ref. $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.11$ $0.07$ $0.05$ $0.09$ Strong (K6 $\leq 13$ )       108 652 $0.01$ $-0.03$ $-0.001$ $0.19$ $0.17$ $0.17$ $0.021$ $0.001$ $0.08$ $0.06$ $0.00$ $0.0001$ $0.19$ $0.17$ $0.021$ $0.001$	1 time/d	18 030	_0.10	_0.12 _0.08	<0.0001	0.14	0.12 0.16	<0.0001	0.03	0.01 0.05	<0.0001	
No       55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$	No	65 827	_0.23	_0.24 _0.21	<0.0001	_0.05	_0.07 _0.03	<0.0001	0.00	0.07 0.10	0.002	
No55 669 $-0.01$ $-0.03, 0.002$ Ref. $0.15$ $0.13, 0.16$ Ref. $0.10$ $0.08, 0.11$ At least one67 419 $-0.04$ $-0.05, -0.02$ $0.0001$ $0.17$ $0.15, 0.19$ $0.001$ $0.05$ $0.04, 0.07$ DepressionWeek (K6 < 13)	Histony of chronic diseaset	05 027	-0.20	-0.24, -0.21	<0.0001	-0.03	-0.07, -0.03	<0.0001	0.03	0.07, 0.10	0.002	
At least one $53\ 0.03\ -0.01\ -0.04\ -0.05\ -0.02\ 0.002\ -0.03\ 0.001\ 0.17\ 0.15\ 0.19\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.04\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.04\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.04\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.04\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.09\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.09\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ 0.07\ 0.05\ 0.07\ $	No	55 660	-0.01	-0.03 0.002	Rof	0.15	0.13 0.16	Rof	0.10	0.08 0.11	Rof	
Comparison       Comparison </td <td>At least one</td> <td>67 / 10</td> <td>-0.01</td> <td>-0.05, 0.02</td> <td>0.0001</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>0.15 0.19</td> <td>0.001</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.04 0.07</td> <td>&lt;0.0001</td>	At least one	67 / 10	-0.01	-0.05, 0.02	0.0001	0.17	0.15 0.19	0.001	0.05	0.04 0.07	<0.0001	
Use (K6 < 13)       108 652 $0.01$ $-0.01, 0.02$ Ref. $0.12$ $0.11, 0.14$ Ref. $0.07$ $0.05, 0.09$ Strong (K6 ≥ 13)       14 436 $-0.06$ $-0.08, -0.04$ $<0.0001$ $0.19$ $0.17, 0.21$ $<0.0001$ $0.08$ $0.06, 0.10$ Employment       No change       59 608 $-0.02$ $-0.03, -0.001$ Ref. $0.16$ $0.15, 0.18$ Ref. $0.10$ $0.08, 0.11$	Denression	07 413	-0.04	0.02	0.0001	0.17	0.13, 0.13	0.001	0.05	0.04, 0.07	<0.0001	
Week (r.0<10)       100 002       0.01       -0.01, 0.02       net.       0.12       0.11, 0.14       net.       0.07       0.05, 0.09         Strong (K6 $\geq$ 13)       14 436       -0.06       -0.08, -0.04       <0.0001	$W_{00} k (K6 < 13)$	108 652	0.01	_0.01 0.02	Rof	0.10	0.11 0.14	Rof	0.07	0.05 0.00	Dof	
Employment 59.608 -0.02 -0.03 -0.001 Bef. 0.16 0.15 0.18 Bef 0.10 0.08 0.11	Strong ( $K6 > 12$ )	14 426	0.01			0.12	017 021		0.07			
No change 59.608 _0.02 _0.030.001 Bef. 0.16 0.15.0.18 Bef 0.10 0.08.0.11	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$	14 430	-0.00	-0.00, -0.04	<0.0001	0.19	0.17, 0.21	<0.0001	0.00	0.00, 0.10	0.104	
NO CHANNE 37 DUO - U-UZ - U-U3, -U-UUT BEL U-TO U-TO, U-TO BEL U-TO U-TO U-TO U-TO U-TO U-TO U-TO U-TO		50 609	0.02	0.02 0.001	Dof	0.16	0.15 0.19	Dof	0.10	0.09 0.11	Pot	
	No change	39 000	-0.02			0.10	0.14 0.10		0.10			
Orientipioyment 35760 -0.03 -0.05 -0.01 0.037 0.16 0.14, 0.16 0.857 0.08 0.06, 0.10		35 / 60	-0.03		0.037	0.10	0.14, 0.18	0.020	0.08		0.069	

\*Adjusted for age (continuous) at survey year and all covariates above in the regression model as the main effect.

†Diagnosis of hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, cancer, stroke, heart disease, diabetes or chronic hepatitis.



**Fig. 2** Least square means of dietary pattern scores in evacuees and non-evacuees among survey years in total men and women (a) and those who had all three dietary assessments (b), adjusted for education level, smoking status, alcohol drinking, daily physical activity, self-reported health condition, history of diagnosed chronic disease, depression level and employment status. O, Non-evacuee; +, evacuee; ----, non-evacuee; ---- , evacuee

study also indicated that individuals living in difficult conditions had lower 'prudent dietary pattern' scores than those living in acceptable conditions<sup>(17)</sup>. Those who did not live at home, with limited room space and simpler kitchen equipment, had difficulty eating balanced daily meals<sup>(7,17,22)</sup>.

Similar to the other studies<sup>(17,23)</sup> regarding other sociodemographic factors, the higher the education levels, the higher the typical pattern scores; smokers had negative typical pattern scores, and their scores were lower than non-smokers. Importantly, women had lower scores in the typical and juice patterns than men, while the vegetable pattern was more likely to be followed by women<sup>(24)</sup>. Residents who reported being in poor health condition had negative and lower scores in the typical and meat patterns than those reporting good health conditions. This emphasised that to adopt better dietary habits, food availability, supply and continuous nutritional support by dieticians are necessary for helping those living in difficult conditions, especially for vulnerable populations<sup>(17,25)</sup>.

The study had some limitations. First, the FHMS response rates remained at approximately 27 %<sup>(1)</sup>, whereas the FFQ response rates decreased from 2011 to 2013. Thus, the representativeness of this study on dietary patterns might not be generalisable to the whole prefecture or to the country's general population. Second, the validity and reproducibility of this modified FFQ had not been

NS Public Health Nutrition

8

## Dietary patterns in Fukushima after disaster

verified. We could not compute the food intake amount and therefore could not compute nutrients and conduct energy-adjusted analysis; we only used food frequencies for deriving dietary patterns in this study. Without the estimated intake of nutrients, the nutritional status and needs would be difficult to evaluate, particularly in vulnerable evacuees after the disaster<sup>(26)</sup>. Also, food consumption was self-reported, and dietary reporting is generally underreported. These findings could lead to nonrandom misclassifications<sup>(8)</sup>. Meanwhile, a total of nineteen food items might not sufficiently indicate changes in the intake of specific foods/food groups, given the identified patterns only explained 7.5-8.0 % of the variations at each year. The FFQ in our study had the same food groups as other studies but might not cover more detailed food items to be incorporated in this analysis<sup>(12,27)</sup>. Third, although our results showed significant correlations among dietary scores, the short term of three consecutive years and no previous survey results as controls might not encompass the long-term effect in Fukushima residents.

In summary, changes in dietary patterns in both men and women have been observed between 2011 and 2013, with typical and juice pattern scores in particular. Careful investigation of those who have insufficient intake of typical pattern foods and promotion of them, particularly for evacuees, are needed.

### Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Enago (www.enago.com) for the English language review. Financial support: This survey was supported by the National Health Fund for Children and Adults Affected by the Nuclear Incident for design and conduction of the study. The findings and conclusions of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of the Fukushima Prefecture government. Conflict of interest: All authors declared no conflicts of interest. Authorship: E.M. developed the hypothesis and drafted the manuscript; E.M., T.O. and H.N. analysed the data; T.O., S.Y., H.Y., M.M., A.S. and K.K. collected and provided the data; T.O., S.Y., M.M. and K.K. revised the manuscript. Ethics of human subject participation: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Committee for Ethics at Fukushima Medical University (application No. 1316 and 1319). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

#### Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980020000300

#### References

- Yasumura S & Abe M (2017) Fukushima Health Management Survey and related issues. Asia Pac J Public Health 29, 298–358.
- Ohira T, Nakano H, Nagai M *et al.* (2017) Changes in cardiovascular risk factors after the Great East Japan Earthquake. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 29, 478–558.
- Hu FB (2002) Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 13, 3–9.
- Northstone K & Emmett PM (2008) A comparison of methods to assess changes in dietary patterns from pregnancy to 4 years post-partum obtained using principal components analysis. Br J Nutr 99, 1099–1106.
- Yasumura S, Hosoya M, Yamashita S *et al.* (2012) Study protocol for the Fukushima Health Management Survey. *J Epidemiol* 22, 375–383.
- Sauvaget C, Allen N, Hayashi M *et al.* (2002) Validation of a food frequency questionnaire in the Hiroshima/Nagasaki Life Span Study. *J Epidemiol* **12**, 394–401.
- Zhang W, Ohira T, Abe M *et al.* (2017) Evacuation after the Great East Japan Earthquake was associated with poor dietary intake: the Fukushima Health Management Survey. *J Epidemiol* 27, 14–23.
- Luger E, Aspalter R, Luger M *et al.* (2016) Changes of dietary patterns during participation in a web-based weightreduction programme. *Public Health Nutr* 19, 1211–1221.
- Nagai M, Ohira T, Zhang W et al. (2017) Lifestyle-related factors that explain disaster-induced changes in socioeconomic status and poor subjective health: a cross-sectional study from the Fukushima health management survey. BMC Public Health 17, 340.
- Suzuki N, Goto Y, Ota H *et al.* (2018) Characteristics of the Japanese diet described in epidemiologic publications: a qualitative systematic review. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* 64, 129–137.
- Crozier SR, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM *et al.* (2009) Women's dietary patterns change little from before to during pregnancy. *J Nutr* **139**, 1956–1963.
- Tomata YWT, Sugawara Y, Chou WT *et al.* (2014) Dietary patterns and incident functional disability in elderly Japanese: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 69, 8.
- 13. Htun NC, Suga H, Imai S *et al.* (2018) Dietary pattern and its association with blood pressure and blood lipid profiles among Japanese adults in the 2012 Japan National Health and Nutrition Survey. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* **27**, 1048–1061.
- 14. Nanri H, Hara M, Nishida Y *et al.* (2015) Dietary patterns and serum gamma-glutamyl transferase in Japanese men and women. *J Epidemiol* **25**, 378–386.
- Okubo H, Murakami K, Sasaki S *et al.* (2010) Relative validity of dietary patterns derived from a self-administered diet history questionnaire using factor analysis among Japanese adults. *Public Health Nutr* 13, 1080–1089.
- Ito T, Kawakami R, Tanisawa K *et al.* (2019) Dietary patterns and abdominal obesity in middle-aged and elderly Japanese adults: Waseda Alumni's Sports, Exercise, Daily Activity, Sedentariness and Health Study (WASEDA'S Health Study). *Nutrition* 58, 149–155.
- Nishi N, Yoshimura E, Ishikawa-Takata K *et al.* (2013) Relationship of living conditions with dietary patterns among survivors of the great East Japan earthquake. *J Epidemiol* 23, 376–381.
- Mishra GD, McNaughton SA, Bramwell GD *et al.* (2006) Longitudinal changes in dietary patterns during adult life. *Br J Nutr* 96, 735–744.
- Mulder M, Ranchor AV, Sanderman R et al. (1998) The stability of lifestyle behaviour. Int J Epidemiol 27, 199–207.
- Mejean C, Deschamps V, Bellin-Lestienne C *et al.* (2010) Associations of socioeconomic factors with inadequate dietary intake in food aid users in France (The ABENA study 2004–2005). *Eur J Clin Nutr* 64, 374–382.

10

- Caspi CE, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV *et al.* (2012) The relationship between diet and perceived and objective access to supermarkets among low-income housing residents. *Soc Sci Med* **75**, 1254–1262.
- 22. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Hoshi Y, Onodera K *et al.* (2014) What factors were important for dietary improvement in emergency shelters after the Great East Japan Earthquake? *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* **23**, 159–166.
- 23. Sadakane A, Tsutsumi A, Gotoh T *et al.* (2008) Dietary patterns and levels of blood pressure and serum lipids in a Japanese population. *J Epidemiol* **18**, 58–67.
- 24. Olinto MT, Willett WC, Gigante DP *et al.* (2011) Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics in relation

to dietary patterns among young Brazilian adults. *Public Health Nutr* 14, 150–159.

- 25. Yanagihara H, Hatakeyama Y & Iwasaki T (2012) Coordination by registered dieticians for nutritional and dietary support in disaster in Japan. *Western Pac Surveill Response J* **3**, 46–51.
- Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N & Purba MB (2014) Nutrition and earthquakes: experience and recommendations. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 23, 505–513.
- 27. McCann SE, Marshall JR, Brasure JR *et al.* (2001) Analysis of patterns of food intake in nutritional epidemiology: food classification in principal components analysis and the subsequent impact on estimates for endometrial cancer. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 989–997.